California Permanent Disability - 88PDRS or 97PDRS Rating Work Function by Analogy: LIFTING & CARRYING CAPACITY / STRENGTH & PHYSICAL EXERTION

Helping Employers with Job Modification Decisions

Ogilvie III – Vocational Rehabilitation & Diminished Future Earning Capacity

Officially we no longer rate permanent disability under the 88PDRS or 97PDRS. But the 1st District Courts of Appeal decision on 07-29-2011 (A126344-Wanda Ogilvie vs. WCAB) reversed the en-banc desision of the WCAB and brought back **the concepts of open labor market**, **vocational rehabilitation and vocational rehabilitation experts.** They provided three possible methodologies that can be used to rebut the scheduled DFEC with the most commonly used methodology based on the impact of the employee's injury to this rehabilitation potential.

It is important that claims administrators help employers understand the concepts of disability and functional loss. When physicians provide work restrictions and/or percentanges of work functional loss, they will be able to compare the injured worker's abilities to perform work activities post-injury with the pre-injury performance and job requirements. They will be able to determine a rating standard based on a system of scheduled benchmarks that considers the severity of the residual functional loss with the physical demands (or essential job functions) as described in job descriptions or job analysis.

Work Capacity Functional Loss

In California we rate for disability factors that cause actual reduction of work capacity. When describing work capacity functional loss, the physician should do so in terms of the proportionate loss of pre-injury capacity to perform a specific work function or a group of functions. We do not rate Vocational Rehabilitation percentage estimates of reduced employment or unspecified / undefined percentages of loss.

- The evaluating physician, when describing work restrictions, preclusions, or functional limitations, should do so in terms of actual reduction of work capacity based on percentages of functional loss, rather than referring to limitations based on time, inability to lift specific weights, ability to returning to a specific occupation, or by the loss of functional capacity not determined by a work related percentage of functional loss.
- Scheduled Work Capacity Guidelines generally describe what the employee can and cannot do as the result of the injury. The Guidelines have been developed so that their described levels of functional loss can be applied directly to scheduled rating standards in the Disability Rating Schedule. "All ratings are thus made within the framework of the PDRS, and not some on the basis of physical impairment and others on a wage loss concept." - EP Welch

• "What is the specific information of what the person lifted and carried at work? A general statement is insufficient [a 15- pound maximum lift and carry limit].

The opinion example only generally states applicant had to "lift and carry things" (presumably) at work. However, there must be facts about the nature of the work in order to support an opinion that lifting and carrying things caused injury. What kinds of items were lifted and carried? How much did the items weigh? How often were the items carried? How far were the items moved? The questions are numerous. If the person is lifting and carrying two (2) ounces of paper clips, once a day, cumulative trauma to the low back from lifting and carrying would seem highly unlikely. If the person is carrying 100-pound blocks of granite 12 hours a day, cumulative trauma would seem more plausible. - The Judge's Perspective: Writing Usable Medical Reports, by WCAB ALJ William J. Ordas / Nikki S. Udkovich.

Spine/Torso Work Capacity Guidelines

- <u>Spine/Torso Work Capacity Guidelines</u> address predetermined percentages of <u>work</u> <u>capacity functional loss</u> to perform <u>a specific function</u> or <u>groups of functions</u>.
- They start with a Limitation for <u>No Very Heavy Lifting</u> which states that an individual has lost 25% of his pre-injury capacity for lifting and culminating with the <u>Limitation to Light Work</u> which <u>contemplates that an individual can do work in standing or walking position, with a minimum of</u> <u>demands for physical effort</u>... a 99% to 100% loss of function for forfeful activities such as lifting, bending, pushing, pulling or other activities of comparable physical effort.

88 & 97 PDRS - WORK CAPACITY GUIDELINES

Multiple disability factors will have some redundancy in how they affect specific abilities or overall function and an unrealistic result will be achieved by simply adding factors together. Multiple factors are compacted (scaled down) to avoid duplication and pyramiding. 97PDRS, pgs. 2-16 & 2-17, notes 35 to 50 and 2-19, notes 1 and 2.

00	03	05	08	10	13	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
Pre-Injury	Loss:	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	40%	50%	55-60%	65-70%	75-80%	85-90	95-100%
Spine/Torso Motion: 20-25			5% Ĵ					50%				80%+	
Residual Lifting Capacity for 76-100 ^{lbs} .:			1 51-7	5		1 26-50 1 11-25		L 0-10					
Residual Lifting Capacity for 51-75 ^{bs.}		1 26-50)	Ĺ 11-25	1 0-1	0	No Substantial Work ゴ						
Fixed Neck/Head 1			1 No V	ery Hea	avy Lifting			1 <u>No Heavy Work</u>					
No Heavy Lifting 1 Limitation to Light Wor							nt Work Ĵ						
No Very Heavy Work 1 1. No Heavy Lifting Repeated Bending & Stooping:							oping:						
©LPC/CAL (06-05-1998)© Copyrighted Material No Part Of This Table May Be Reproduced, Reused, Republished Or													
Transmitted In Any Form, Or Stored In A Data Base Or Retrieval System, Without Written Permission.													

Percentage Levels of Work Functional Loss – Defined by PDRS Benchmarks

The following tables are based on the DWC Rehabilitation Unit RU-91, The US Department of Labor, Dictionary of Occupational Titles, The Spine & Torso Guidelines, The pre 05PDRS DEU Guidelines and definitions of functional loss found within both the 88PDRS & 97PDRS. The four main levels of functional loss are 25%, 50%, 75% and 100%.

- Loss of pre-injury work capacity can be estimated broadly in four main levels addressing the 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% levels of functional loss. When sufficient information is available, the physician should be able to estimate more precisely the overall level of functional loss.
- "Loss of pre-injury capacity at levels that are rated by considering the relative severity of the disability in comparison with scheduled benchmarks and assigning a standard appropriate to the loss of pre-injury capacity. 97PDRS. Pg 1-8

loco ol plo lingui y capacity on Dicon gi c							
25% -Occasional	50% -Intermittent	75% -Frequent					
Loss of Pre-injury capacity	Loss of pre-injury capacity	Loss of pre-injury capacity					
Prolonged/ Very Heavy or Forceful Very Heavy Lifting Very Heavy Work Very Forceful / Very Repetitive Overhead Work	Repetitive/Forceful/Strenous Heavy Lifting / Heavy Work Repetitive Manipulation Power/Forceful/Strenuous	<u>Substantial</u>					
Sustained/Constant – 90-100%							
Limitation to Light Work: Work with Minmal Demands for Physical Effort							

RATING LIFTING CAPACITY / STRENGTH PHYSICAL EXERTION (By Analogy)

Residual disability due to reduction of lifting ability is rated based on the actual "loss of work capacity" by referring to the "percentage loss of function" or "proportionate loss to perform a specific function". [97PDRS, page 1-4]

• 97PDRS, page 2-14 and 88PDRS. page 1a

- a. Under No Very Heavy Lifting, you find the general guidelines for determining "loss of lifting capacity."
- b. A statement "inability to lift 50 pounds" is not meaningful.
- c. To be able to determine a level of residual disability based on loss of pre-injury capacity due to an inability to lift, the physician must compare the individual's pre-injury lifting capacity with the current lifting capacity, taking into consideration the total lifting effort, weight, distance, endurance, frequency, body position and comparable physical factors with reference to a particular individual.

The following tables provide the equivalent Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT) physical demands definitions to the corresponding Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) Occupational Group Number Designations. It also matches the DWC Rehabilitation Unit's Lifting Categories of the RU-91

Functional Loss: Lifting & Carrying CapacityPage 4

For injuries after 4/1/97 both the 97PRS & 05PDRS designation of occupational group numbers are composed of a 3-digit number. The 1st Digit signifies the group's general strength level. The Table matches the PDRS 1st Digit of The Occupational Group Numbers to the Strength / Arduousness Level and General Job Classifications of the DOT Occupational Dictionary.

 It is important to be be aware that there is a difference in the Pre-Injury Values used in the Job Analysis or Job Descriptions for the Daily Lifting & Carrying Requirements. Both the DOT and the RU-91 use the following definitions

KEY: Physical Demands – Strength Rating, pages 1012 & 1013 of the DOT OD.						
MOVE OBJECTS	Lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, including the human body.					
ARM/LEG CONTROLS Include (not limited) to buttons, knobs, pedals, levers & cranks.						
1. OCCASIONAL (OCC) Up to 1/3 of the time (Up to 3 Hours)						
2. FREQUENT (FREQ) From 1/3 to 2/3 of the time (3-to-6 Hours)						
3. CONSTANT (CONST) 2/3 or more of the time (6-to-8 Hours)						
Occasional, Frequent and Constant have a different percentage value under the California Labor Code of						
Regulation Definitions (Section 9727) and those found within the PDRS (LC Section § 4660)						

Determining a Rating Standard from Residual Lifting Capacity Lbs Levels

- 1. For this calculation to have any validity it is important to know the injured worker's pre-injury lifting capacity from a job analysis or job description.
- 2. The table is designed to rate the injured worker's **Post-Injury** residual lifting capacity.
- 3. It is important to be be aware that there is a difference in the **Pre-Injury** Values used in the Job Analysis or Job Descriptions of the Daily Lifting & Carrying Requirements.
- 4. We are rating the <u>Post-Injury</u> proportionate loss of pre-injury capacity to perform a specific work function with the overall percentages of functional loss of 25%, 50% and 75%.
- 5. Again, Residual Disability due to reduction of lifting ability is rated based on the actual "loss of work capacity" by referring to the "percentage loss of function" or "proportionate loss to perform a specific function". [97PDRS, page 1-4.]
 - 5.1. 97PDRS, page 2-14 and 88PDRS, page 1a

Residual Lifting Table Chart - Pre-Injury Lifting Capacity Range - 76-100lbs.

When Pre-Injury Lifting Capacity is in the Range of 51-75^{lbs} - Divide Result by one-half (1/2)

1. Determine Highest Level of Functional Loss. Do Not Add or Combine multiple levels of loss. <u>Rating to be based on the Highest Level of Pre-injury capacity Functional Loss.</u>

2. Multiple disability factors will have some redundancy in how they affect specific abilities or overall function, and an unrealistic result will be achieved by simply adding factors together. Multiple factors are compacted (scaled down) to avoid duplication and pyramiding.

Residual Lifting	Job Classification (DOT)	Rating Star	One	Pre-injury capacity functional loss Multiply RS by % of Loss			Modified RS for PDRS Adjustments
Capacity		Both Ext.	Arm	75%	50%	25%	
0	Very Light	50	25				
5-10	Work	40	20				
11-25	Light Work	30	15				
26-50	Medium Wor	20	10				
51-75	Heavy Work	10	05				
76-100	Very Heavy	00	00				

<u>CAL/LPC (06-05-1998)</u> Copyrighted Material No Part Of This Table May Be Reproduced, Reused, Republished Or Transmitted In Any Form, Or Stored In A Data Base Or Retrieval System, Without Written Permission.

Page 5

PDRS Occupational Group #s DOT Physical Demands & RU-91

DOT Physical Demands and DWC Occupational Group Number Designations Includes RU-91 Lifting/Carrying Categories								
DWC Strength Designator (1 st Digit of Group #)	REQUIREMENTS	Strengt (DOT Occupational	RU-91					
1. Very Light	MOVE OBJECTS	0-10 lbs. of force OCC	Negligent Force FREQ- Const	0.40 lbc				
Work/Sedentary Work	SITTING	Sits Most	of the Time	0-10 lbs.				
-	WALKING/STANDING	Occasionally (Briefs Walking/Standing)		1				
	MOVE OBJECTS	0-20 lbs. of force OCC	Negligent Force CONST					
2. Light Work	SITTING WALKING/STANDING	Pushing/Pulling Arm/Leg Controls Work At A Production Rate		11-25 lbs.				
	MOVE OBJECTS	20-50 lbs. of force OCC	10-25 lbs. of force FREQ					
3. Medium Work	SITTING WALKING/STANDING	10 lbs. of force CONSTANTLY		26-50 lbs.				
4. Heavy Work	MOVE OBJECTS	50-100 lbs. of force OCC	25-50 lbs. of force FREQ	51-75 lbs.				
4. Heavy Work	SITTING WALKING/STANDING	10- 20 lbs. of force CONSTANTLY		0170103.				
	MOVE OBJECTS	100lbs. of force OCC	+50 lbs. of force FREQ					
5. Very Heavy Work	SITTING WALKING/STANDING	+20 lbs. of forc	76-100 lbs.					
KEY: Physical Demands – Strength Rating, pages 1012 & 1013 of the DOT OD.								
MOVE OBJECTS Lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, including the human body.								
ARM/LEG CONTROLS Include (not limited) to buttons, knobs, pedals, levers & cranks								
4. OCCASIONAL (OC		the time (Up to 3 Hours)						
5. FREQUENT (FREC		m 1/3 to 2/3 of the time (3-to-6 Hours)						
6. CONSTANT (CON	/	e of the time (6-to-8 Hours)						
Occasional, Frequent and	d Constant have a differ		er the California Labor Code	of Regulation				
CAL/LPC (06-05-1998)@ Co	, opyrighted Material No Part		duced, Reused, Republished Or	Transmitted In				

DOT Strength Designation & Typical Energy Requirement in METS

Physical Demand Level	Occasional 0-33% of the workday	Frequent 34% -66% of the workday	Constant 67%-100% of the workday	Typical Energy Required
Very Light/Sedentary	10lbs	Negligible	Negligible	1.5-2.3METS
Light	20 lbs.	10 lbs.	Negligible	2.2-3.5METS
Medium	20 to 50 lbs.	10 to 25 lbs.	10 lbs.	16 - 6.3 METS
Heavy	50 to 100 lbs.	25 to 50 lbs.	10 to 20 lbs,	6,4 - 7.5 METS
Very Heavy	Over 100 lbs.	Over 50 lbs.	Over 20 lbs.	Over 7. 5 METS

Luis Pérez-Cordero & Craig Andrew Lange

Certified, AMA Guides Impairment & California Disability Rating Specialists American College of Disability Medicine & Board of Independent Medical Examiners Wednesday, June 06, 2012